Sucrose produces withdrawal and dopamine-sensitive reinforcing effects in planarians
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1. Introduction

Discontinuation of sucrose consumption in some individuals can elicit withdrawal symptoms and craving that reinitiate sweet food intake, a deleterious cycle contributing to obesity and diabetes. Rats exposed to sucrose display neuropharmacological effects resembling those of abused drugs, including withdrawal responses (e.g., anxiety and depression) and craving, locomotor and consummatory cross-sensitization, conditioned place preference (CPP), enhancement of extracellular dopamine in the nucleus accumbens, and changes in mesolimbic dopamine receptor expression.

Based on the tenet that the survival of all animals is dependent on a desire to procure food, we hypothesized that the neuropharmacological effects of sucrose would be conserved in planarians, the phylogenetically simplest animal to have a body plan common to all vertebrates and most invertebrates. Planarians possess a centralized nervous system (cephalic ganglia and nerve cord processes) and multiple neurotransmitter systems, including glutamate, dopamine, serotonin, acetylcholine, and GABA. Several classes of abused drugs produce mammalian-like behavioral responses in planarians, such as stereotyped activity, spontaneous withdrawal, behavioral sensitization, cross-sensitization, and CPP.

Using methodology analogous to that used for mammals, we now report that sucrose produces withdrawal responses and dopamine-sensitive place conditioning effects in planarians.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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2. Methods

2.1. Animals and drugs

Planarians (Dugesia dorotocephala) were purchased from Carolina Biological Supply (Burlington, NC, USA). Upon arrival in the laboratory, planarians were maintained in the aqueous solution provided by Carolina Biological Supply, acclimated to room temperature (21 °C), and tested within 3 days of receipt. SCH 23390 ((R)-(+)-7-chloro-8-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydroid-1H-3-benzazepine hydrochloride), sulpiride ((RS)-(-)-5-amino sulfon furyl-N-[1-ethyl-2-pyrrolidinyl](methyl)-2-methoxybenzamide), and dopamine hydrochloride were obtained from Tocris Bioscience (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sucrose, D-glucose (dextrose), and lactulose were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Stock solutions were prepared daily in a vehicle of tap water containing AmQuel® water conditioner. Treatment solutions were diluted with tap water containing AmQuel® water conditioner (1 ml AmQuel per 1 gal of water).

2.2. Behavioral studies

2.2.1. Sucrose withdrawal

Individual planarians were randomly removed from their home jars and placed for 60 min into a transparent Petri dish (5.5 cm diameter) containing water or a 1% sucrose solution. Planarians from both groups were then removed and placed into another Petri dish containing water or 1% sucrose for 5 min during which motility and headbops (“nodding”-like movements) were quantified. As previously described [30], motility counts were quantified as the number of gridlines crossed, or re-crossed, by placing the Petri dish over graphing paper with gridlines spaced 0.5 cm apart. A reduction in motility following discontinuation of exposure to an addictive substance is a characteristic withdrawal response displayed by planarians [30,33]. Experiments were also conducted with 0.1% sucrose.

2.2.2. Sucrose, glucose, and lactulose CPP

Because planarians display a natural preference for a dark environment, we used a biased, counterbalanced conditioning design to assess sucrose preference [37]. Dark and “ambient” light environments were created by covering half (top and bottom) of a Petri dish containing water with black paper. Individual planarians were placed at the midline of the dish and given free access to both the light and dark sides of the dish. The time spent in the non-preferred setting (light) over a 5-min interval was determined (pretest). Planarians were then conditioned with sucrose (0, 0.1, 1, and 10%) for 30 min in the non-preferred environment. Immediately following conditioning, planarians were placed at the midline of a Petri dish containing water and allowed free access to the light and dark sides of the dish for 5 min. The time spent in the non-preferred environment was determined (posttest), and a preference score was calculated as the difference between the posttest and pretest times. Separate experiments were conducted with glucose (0, 0.1, and 1%), a metabolite of sucrose, and lactulose (0, 0.1, and 1%), a synthetic non-digestible disaccharide that is used to treat constipation.

2.2.3. Dopamine receptor antagonist effects on sucrose CPP

Experiments were repeated as described for sucrose alone with a couple of modifications. In experiments assessing development, planarians were conditioned with sucrose (1%) alone and in combination with either SCH 23390 (1 μM) or sulpiride (1 μM). In experiments investigating expression, planarians were conditioned with sucrose (1%) and then tested in the presence of SCH 23390 (1 μM) or sulpiride (1 μM). Tables 1 and 2 display the experimental design for the development and expression studies, respectively.

2.3. Data and statistical analysis

Group means (± S.E.M.) were compared by one-way ANOVA. In cases of a significant main effect, appropriate post-hoc tests (Dunnett’s or Bonferroni) were used to identify differences between individual groups. A Student’s t-test was used to compare pre-test and post-test times in CPP experiments testing different doses of sucrose. Planarians that preferred a light environment during pre-testing (15/275, or 5.5% of the total tested) were excluded from CPP data analysis. A p < 0.05 was considered a statistically significant difference in all cases.

3. Results

3.1. Sucrose produces withdrawal responses (Fig. 1)

The effects of different patterns of 1% sucrose exposure (acute, continuous, and discontinuation) on motility and stereotypical activity (headbops) are presented in Fig. 1. For motility experiments, one-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect [F(3, 44) = 3.387, p < 0.05]. Acute or (W/S) continuous (S/S) exposure did not produce motility counts that were significantly different than water controls (W/W) (p > 0.05). However, motility counts following spontaneous discontinuation of sucrose exposure (i.e., planarians treated with sucrose for 60 min and then tested in water) (S/W) were lower than those observed in water controls (W/W) and during acute (W/S) or continuous (S/S) sucrose exposure (p < 0.01). An increase in the number of headbops following discontinuation of sucrose exposure was not observed (p > 0.05) (Fig. 1A). Higher concentrations of sucrose (e.g., 1%) were not tested in withdrawal experiments because they suppressed motility upon acute exposure.

3.2. Sucrose and glucose, but not lactulose, produce CPP (Fig. 2)

One-way ANOVA indicated a significant main effect in experiments testing the effects of sucrose (0, 0.1, 1 and 10%) on environmental preference [F(3, 44) = 3.326, p < 0.05]. Planarians conditioned with 1% sucrose displayed a greater preference shift (108 ± 23 s) than water controls (19 ± 15 s) (p < 0.01). For planarians in the 1% sucrose group, the time spent in the non-preferred environment (light) was enhanced following sucrose conditioning (155 ± 26 s posttest versus 48 ± 15 s pretest) (p < 0.05, Student’s t-test within subjects comparison). Conditioning with lower (0.1%) or higher (10%) sucrose concentrations produced an enhanced preference shift relative to water controls, but the effects did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.05). For sucrose experiments (Fig. 2B), one-way ANOVA indicated a significant main effect on environmental preference [F(2, 21) = 10.13, p < 0.001]. Compared to water controls (34 ± 8 s), planarians conditioned with 0.1% (98 ± 18 s, p < 0.01) or 1% (109 ± 10 s, p < 0.001) sucrose displayed significantly greater preference shifts (Fig. 2B). For lactulose experiments (Fig. 2C), a significant main effect on environmental preference was not observed [F(2, 21) = 0.77, p > 0.05]. Planarians conditioned with dopamine (100 μM) also displayed significant preference shift relative to water controls (data not shown).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Pretest (5 min)</th>
<th>Conditioning (30 min)</th>
<th>Posttest (5 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antagonist</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Antagonist</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Antagonist</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Antagonist/sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antagonist/sucrose</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Antagonist/sucrose</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tables 1 and 2 display the experimental design for the development and expression studies, respectively.
3.3. Development of sucrose CPP is inhibited by dopamine receptor antagonists (Fig. 3)

One-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for experiments testing the effect of SCH 23390 (1 μM) on development of the preference shift produced by 1% sucrose conditioning \( [F(3, 44) = 7.095, p < 0.001] \) (Fig. 3A). Planarians conditioned with sucrose alone again displayed a greater preference shift than water controls (101±18 s versus 37±11 s, respectively) \( (p < 0.01) \). However, when planarians were conditioned with a combination of sucrose and SCH 23390, the preference shift was less than that observed following conditioning with sucrose alone (16±17 s versus 101±18 s, respectively) \( (p < 0.01) \). One-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for experiments testing the effect of sulpiride (1 μM) on the development of place conditioning produced by 1% sucrose conditioning \( [F(3, 44) = 3.809, p < 0.05] \) (Fig. 3B). Planarians conditioned with sucrose alone displayed a greater preference shift compared to water controls (73±13 s versus 17±14 s, respectively) \( (p < 0.05) \) and planarians conditioned with a combination of sucrose and sulpiride (73±18 s versus 22±10 s, respectively) \( (p < 0.05) \). Preference shifts produced by conditioning with SCH 23390 (Fig. 3A) or sulpiride (Fig. 3B) were not different than water controls \( (p > 0.05) \).

3.4. Expression of sucrose CPP is not affected by dopamine receptor antagonists (Fig. 4)

One-way ANOVA indicated a significant main effect for experiments testing the effect of SCH 23390 (1 μM) on expression of 1% sucrose-induced place conditioning \( [F(3, 44) = 3.315, p < 0.05] \) (Fig. 4A). A greater preference shift was observed in planarians conditioned with sucrose than in water controls (80±20 s versus 18±11 s, respectively) \( (p < 0.05) \). However, preference shifts observed in planarians that were conditioned with sucrose and then tested in water (80±20 s) or SCH 23390 (76±13 s) were not significantly different \( (p > 0.05) \). For experiments testing the effect of sulpiride (1 μM) on the expression of 1% sucrose-induced place conditioning, a significant main effect was not detected \( [F(3, 44) = 0.5986, p > 0.05] \) (Fig. 4B). The reason for the lack of overall significance was because the preference score in the water control group was greater than previously observed. Nevertheless, for the groups conditioned with sucrose, posttests conducted in water (81±27 s) and sulpiride (75±17 s) yielded similar preference scores.

4. Discussion

The present study investigated the pharmacological effects of a naturally-occurring reward, viz., sucrose, in planarians. Experiments revealed that planarians displayed abstinence-induced withdrawal responses following abrupt discontinuation of sucrose exposure that were not evident during acute or continuous sucrose exposure. Sucrose produced a conditioned place preference (CPP) that was inhibited by dopamine D1 or D2 receptor antagonists. Drugs of abuse (cocaine, amphetamines, opioids, nicotine, benzodiazepines, and substituted cathinones) from different pharmacological classes elicit spontaneous withdrawal responses in planarians [24,25,30–38]. Characteristic withdrawal responses include reduced motility, headbops, headswings (head rotation in the absence of gliding while the tail is fixed to the bottom of the dish), tailtwists (bending of the tail tip) and corkscrews (spiral rotation while floating or swimming) [25]. Our laboratory quantifies planarian withdrawal using the endpoint of reduced motility, a response that is specific to addictive substances and independent of pH or osmolarity changes [30–35,37]. We demonstrated here that planarians also display withdrawal responses to a natural reward (sucrose) that are spontaneous and do not require precipitation with a receptor antagonist. A sucrose withdrawal syndrome is observed in rats and is most intense following precipitation by administration of the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone [4]. For example, following intermittent access to sucrose, rats injected with naloxone exhibit somatic withdrawal responses (e.g., teeth chattering, head shakes, forepaw tremor), anxiogenic behavior, and behavioral depression [10]. Rats spontaneously removed from sucrose-rich diets display hypothermia and aggression [15,45]. A comparison of findings from rat and planarian studies suggests that sucrose withdrawal, while detectable in both species, is more sensitive in planarians. Future studies will probe underlying mechanisms, such as involvement of kappa opioid receptors, which
are preferentially expressed in planarians relative to mu and delta opioid receptors [27].

Consistent with prior studies demonstrating that sucrose produces CPP in rats [1,13,21] and conditioned preference effects (e.g., a positive proboscis extension response) in Drosophila [9,19,42], our experiments revealed that sucrose conditioning produced an environmental preference shift in planarians. To enable the environment to act as a conditioned stimulus, the time spent in the non-preferred environment (ambient light) was determined prior to sucrose exposure and this environment was then paired with sucrose during conditioning. It was

Fig. 2. Sucrose and glucose, but not lactulose, produce CPP. Data are presented as the mean preference score(s) ± S.E.M. (difference between post-conditioning and pre-conditioning times) from planarians in which (A) sucrose (0, 0.1, 1, 10%), (B) glucose (0, 0.1, 1%) or (C) lactulose (0, 0.1, 1%) was paired with ambient light during the conditioning phase. N = 8–10 planarians per group. **p<0.01 compared to W in the respective experiments.

Fig. 3. Dopamine D1 or D2 receptor antagonists significantly inhibit development of CPP induced by 1% sucrose (SUC). (A) D1 antagonist effects: CPP was determined in planarians that were conditioned with water (W), SCH 23390 (SCH), SUC, or SCH+SUC in the ambient light. (B) D2 antagonist effects: CPP was determined in planarians that were conditioned with water (W), sulpiride (SUL), SUC, or SUL+SUC in the ambient light. Data are presented as the mean preference score(s) ± S.E.M. (difference between post-conditioning and pre-conditioning times). N = 10 planarians per group. **p<0.01, *p<0.05 compared to W or SUC.
hypothesized that planarians would prefer, or avoid, the conditioned environmental stimulus depending on the rewarding or aversive properties of the paired substance (sucrose). Experiments revealed that planarians conditioned with sucrose displayed a preference shift, i.e., they developed a greater preference for the light environment that was paired with sucrose despite their natural preference for the dark. The shift in preference was not directly proportional to sucrose concentration. A median concentration (1%) produced the greatest shift whereas relatively lower (0.1%) and higher (10%) concentrations were less efficacious. The inverted u-shaped response with sucrose in the CPP experiments is intriguing. The observation that a concentration of 1% sucrose produces both withdrawal responses and a preference shift in planarians suggests that this concentration elicits rewarding effects (i.e., planarians are experiencing rewarding effects in the presence of sucrose and aversive effects upon the removal of sucrose). In addition, the highest concentration (10%) that was tested in CPP experiments also reduced motility upon acute exposure, suggesting that it may have produced toxic effects in planarians that would negate or counter an expected preference shift.

It is interesting to note that conditioning with glucose, but not with the synthetic non-digestible disaccharide lactulose, also produced an environmental preference shift in planarians. Glucose is formed from the hydrolytic cleavage of sucrose by β-fructosidase in the microvilli of the intestinal brush border in mammals. Evidence that both sucrose and glucose produced preference shifts in planarians suggests that the rewarding effects of sucrose were primarily mediated by glucose and that pathways of sucrose metabolism in planarians and mammals share commonalities. A lack of knowledge about taste, smell, and digestive processes in planarians precludes extensive speculation about how interactions between their appetite and reward systems may have shaped the sucrose and glucose preference shifts. The digestive system of planarians is comprised of a mouth and gastrovascular cavity connected by a pharynx, and their natural diet consists of segmented worms and dead fish [33]. It is known that chemoreceptors concentrated in the auricles at the side of the planarian head respond to gustatory and olfactory stimuli and that olfactory/taste signals received in the head region are conveyed in the main lobes of the brain [23]. Future studies will be aimed at linking the reward and appetite pathways in planarians to the pharmacological effects of sucrose and related sweeteners.

Sucrose preference in planarians was dependent on an active dopamine system. In vertebrates, dopamine mediates the reinforcing effects of natural and drug rewards [2–7,43], and a comparable function in planarians is suggested by the findings observed here. Dopamine receptor antagonists inhibited the development, but not the expression, of sucrose CPP in planarians, suggesting that dopamine signaling has different roles across the stages of sucrose reinforcement. The most obvious interpretation is that enhanced dopamine signaling is required for the development of the reinforcing properties of sucrose but that non-dopaminergic systems, such as glutamate, GABA, or opioid, are more important for the expression and/or maintenance of those properties. Dopamine receptors in planarians have been distinguished by pharmacological and biochemical criteria [26,27]. For example, dopamine D1 and D2 receptor agonists produce an increase in cAMP levels that is antagonized by dopamine subtype-specific antagonists. In the present experiments, dopamine receptor subtype-specific effects were not discriminated as antagonists from the D1 and D2 receptor classes similarly inhibited development of preference. Dopamine receptors in planarians have been distinguished by pharmacological and biochemical criteria [26,27]. For example, dopamine D1 and D2 receptor agonists produce an increase in cAMP levels that is antagonized by dopamine subtype-specific antagonists. Excluding the pharmacokinetic effects of SCH 23390 or sulpiride, or the chemical antagonism of sucrose by the synthetic non-digestible disaccharide lactulose, also produced an expected preference shift.

A limitation of the planarian assay is the inability to screen for a neophobic response to sucrose. Rodents typically display a lack of preference for sugar-containing solutions upon initial exposure that is interpreted as a neophobic response that is also associated with other stimuli [21,40]. Because rodents lack a vomiting reflex and cannot interrupt digestion following the consumption of a substance, neophobia is protective against the intake of potentially toxic foods.
In the case in which consumption of a novel food produces dysphoria, the animal develops conditioned place aversion to the food [41]. In the absence of toxic or dysphoric effects, the subsequent effects of the food would produce rewarding effects manifested as CPP. Our observation that sucrose produces a preference shift following a single conditioning session suggests that its rewarding properties are relatively rapid in onset. The concentration of sucrose that elicited CPP did not produce signs of toxicity but did cause withdrawal effects following discontinuation of exposure. Nonetheless, an initial neophobic effect of sucrose cannot be discounted because assays comparable to those used in rodents to quantify sucrose consumption and choice (e.g., versus water through a licking response) are not available for planarians.

5. Conclusion

Sucrose, similar to drugs of abuse, produced withdrawal responses and reinforcing effects in planarians [24,25,30–38]. Rodent studies using dopamine receptor antagonists, gene knockout approaches, and neuroanatomical lesions indicate that natural and drug rewards produce changes in dopamine transmission that mediate their reinforcing properties [43]. The present evidence that shows that place conditioning of sucrose develop only in the presence of an active dopamine system suggests that mechanisms underlying the reinforcing efficacy of table sugar are highly conserved. It can also be postulated that planarians may provide an invertebrate model to study the behavioral and neurochemical effects of sucrose and a pre-mammalian screen to identify medications to decrease the adverse effects associated with excessive sugar consumption.
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